Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		
	10/071,475	VUKOVIC ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTINE DUONG	2416
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>CHRISTINE DUONG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lalita W. Pace</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2009	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Christine Duong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/071,475

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed claims 1, 4 with 101 rejection of a method not being tied to an apparatus; Discussed amending claims 2 and 3 into 1; Discussed amending claim 9 into 7 and adding the limitation "if the number of NAKs is equal to an amount of NAKs required to fill an over-the-air frame"; Discussed adding the label "prior art" to figures 1-3.